

# Towards Security in Cyber Physical Systems

# Dawn Song UC Berkeley











# The world is becoming more and more connected

- 1.1 Billion smart phones
- 244 Million smart meters
- 487 Million e-readers and tablets
- 2.37 Billion networked office devices
- 86 Million medical devices
- 45 Million connected automobiles
- 547 Million connected appliances
- 45 Million supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA)
- 5+ Billion other (non-phone/tablet/e-reader) electronic devices
- Over 50 Billion connected devices by 2020



## The world is becoming more and more connected

# Malware enters new landscape as more parts of the world get connected



# Malware enters new landscape as more parts of the world get connected

\* Legacy, traditional vulnerabilities & attacks in new landscape



# First Security Analysis on Medical Devices

- \* Cardiac Science G3 Plus model 9390A
- \* Analysis
  - \* Manual reverse engineering using IDA Pro
    - \* MDLink, AEDUpdate and device firmware
  - Automatic binary analysis
    - \* BitBlaze binary analysis infrastructure
    - \* BitFuzz, the dynamic symbolic execution tool
- \* Vulnerabilities lead to distributed worm in AED
  - 1. AED Firmware Replacement
  - 2. AEDUpdate Buffer overflow
  - 3. AEDUpdate Plain text user credentials
  - 4. MDLink Weak password scheme

The case for Software Security Evaluations of Medical Devices [HealthSec'11]





# Malware enters new landscape as more parts of the world get connected

- \* Legacy, traditional vulnerabilities & attacks in new landscape
- \* New classes of vulnerabilities & attacks on new platform



# Automatic In-depth Analysis of 3M+ Android Apps

UC Berkeley/Ensighta Security Inc./FireEye Inc.



# Case Study in Android: JS Binding & JBOH Vulnerability

## \* JavaScript (JS) Binding

- \* JS binding in WebView is designed to allow JS to access certain Java objects & interfaces exposed to JS
- \* JavaScript (JS) Binding vulnerability
  - JS binding in WebView can be abused to execute arbitrary code on device from JS
    - \* JS binding allows JS to use Java reflection to acquire a reference to a runtime object
    - \* then execute arbitrary commands on the device
  - \* E.g., Adobe pdf reader

- Å
- A malicious PDF can read your files (accessible to Adobe PDF reader) on Android and send them over the Internet



# JBOH Vulnerability

- \* JavaScript-Binding-over-HTTP (JBOH) vulnerability
  - \* JS binding + WebView traffic going over HTTP
  - \* If you control one of these:
    - \* HTTP traffic, DNS, BGP...
  - \* You can:
    - \* Steal SMS (including two-factor auth token), take photo, record audio, etc., if the app has needed permissions
- \* Finding: JBOH in Android Ad Libraries
  - \* 18 out of the top 40 ad libs are JBOH (47%)
  - \* Affect more than 5.2 billion Google Play downloads (>18%)



# **Smart Locks**



**OUTSIDE** View





**INSIDE View** 



# **Smart Locks**



**OUTSIDE** View







**INSIDE View** 

# **Case Study: Revocation Evasion**



# **Case Study: Revocation Evasion**

# Mallory steals phone and switches it offline



# Malware enters new landscape as more parts of the world get connected

- \* Legacy, traditional vulnerabilities & attacks in new landscape
- \* New classes of vulnerabilities & attacks on new platform
- \* New threat models with new technology



# **Consumer-grade BCI Devices**





\* Price: ≈ 300 USD















#### HEADSET & ACCESSORIES



#### DEVELOPER & RESEARCH PACKAGES



Master Mind allows users to play their favorite

PC games with the power of their mind.

Existing PC games such as World of Warcraft™ and Call of Duty™ can now be

played with the power of your mind.

#### **APP STORE**

# Reditation Journal

#### Exercise Equipment for Your Mind

Experts agree that the human brain should be exercised like other body elements. Use the MindWave with specially designed neuroscience meditation, mental fitness and game applications on your home PC or Mac.

Rate this product:

\$4.95

Proc. Fast sam pro. 804 7 From 1997) and from 1844 on Task on you o

-83

#### ARENA

BLINKCHALLENGE

This is a game that requires you to use the power of your mind against your opponent. To play the game, you must first train your mind to shoot fireballs using the Emotiv PUSH command.

Uses a Emobot interface and it can catch your blink

immediately. Try to beat your longest stare! Or how fast can you blink? You just wear the headset and try this game

This game supports single and dual player modes. For dual player mode (DLIEL) each player will

#### SPIRIT MOUNTAIN DEMO GAME

Experience the fantasy of having supernatural powers and controlling the world with your mind. Your journey will take you through a mythical landscape of forests, temples and an environment that adjusts itself based on how you feel.

Rate this product:

BUY NOW

\$14.95

BUY NOW







# \*\*\*





#### MIND MOUSE

MASTER MIND

Mind Mouse is a revolutionary thoughtcontrolled software application which allows the user to navigate the computer, click and double click to open programs, compose email and send with the power of their mind. \*\*\* "NON 'AA

\*\*\*\* \$99.00





#### EMOTIV EPOC UNITY3D™ DEVELOPER SUPPORT PACK

This package contains a full Unity3D™ Wrapper for the Emotiv EPOC EmoEngine API and a working demonstration game project and assets.

\*\*\*\* \$79.95



# What if an EEG gaming app is malicious?

# Secretly reading your mind?



# BCI as Side-Channel to the Brain



On the Feasibility of Side-Channel Attacks with Brain-Computer Interfaces [USENIX Security'12]



# Attack Stimuli



#### Information tested:

- First digit of PIN
- Do you know this person?
- Do you have an account at this bank?
- What month were you born in?
- Where do you live?

On the Feasibility of Side-Channel Attacks with Brain-Computer Interfaces [USENIX Security'12]







(a) ATM







# BCI as Side-Channel to the Brain

#### Experimental results from 30 participants





The More Powerful Consumer-grade BCI devices are

The More Powerful the attacks are  $\uparrow$ 



# Malware enters new landscape as more parts of the world get connected

- \* Legacy, traditional vulnerabilities & attacks in new landscape
- \* New classes of vulnerabilities & attacks on new platform
- \* New threat models with new technology



# **Traditional Defenses**

Reactive Approaches

- \* Detecting and blocking malware
- Patching exploited vulnerabilities
- \* Most of commercial security solutions today
  - \* Network-based security solution
  - \* Host-based security solution



# **Reactive Defense Is Insufficient**

- \* Cat-&-mouse game
  - \* Needs to change as attacks change
- \* Malware can cause real physical damage
- Deploying patches may be difficult
  May require additional certification



# **Proactive Defense**

Making it easier to build secure systems
Free of certain classes of vulnerabilities

\* Approach 1: reducing vulnerabilities by automatic bug finding

\* Approach 2: secure by construction

Proactive Defense Bug Finding

Proactive Defense Secure by Construction



# Approach 1: Automatic Bug Finding

Proactive Defense Bug Finding

- \* Challenges:
  - \* Cannot guarantee finding all vulnerabilities
    - \* High false positive/false negative
  - \* Asymmetry
    - \* Attacker only needs to find one vulnerability
  - \* Race with the attacker
    - \* Who finds the vulnerability first



# Approach 2: Secure by Construction

- \* Define security properties
  - Many possibilities

Proactive Defense Secure by Construction

- \* Security mechanisms at different stages to ensure property
  - Compilation stage
  - \* Program instrumentation & transformation post compilation
  - \* (Provably secure) security primitives

#### \* Practical

- \* Low performance overhead
- \* Compatibility
- \* Little to no effort from developer



# Towards Building Secure Cyber Physical Systems by Construction

- \* Program hardening to protect against exploits
- \* Security as a service for managing security life cycle



# **Program Hardening**



binary program



# **Our Solutions**





# Code Pointer Integrity (CPI)

- \* Harden complete FreeBSD distribution (modulo kernel)
   \* Protecting against control-flow hijacking attacks
- \* >100 extra packages









FreeBSD









# VTint: Defending against VTable Hijacking

- \* VTable hijacking is popular and critical
  - Real-world exploits against COTS applications exist.
  - \* CPS applications also have a large attack surface.
- \* Existing solutions are not perfect
- \* VTint is a lightweight, binary-compatible and effective defense against VTable hijacking, similar to DEP

defense	vtable hijacking			info	binary	perf.
solution	corrupt	inject	reuse	leakage	support	overhead
VTGuard	N	N	Y	N	N	0.5%
SD-vtable	Ν	Y	Y	N/A	Ν	30%
SD-method	Y	Y	Y	N/A	Ν	7%
DieHard	partial	partial	partial	N/A	Ν	8%
VTint	Y	Y	partial	Y	Y	2%



## Program Hardening in Cyber Physical Systems

# OpenDaVINCI

Open Source Development Architecture for Virtualization of Networked Cyber-Physical System Infrastructures



# Attack Surface of OpenDaVINCI

	#vtable	#vcall		#vtable	#vcall
RuntimeControl~1	175	1325	TimeFactoryTes~	40	329
RuntimeControl~2	161	826	SharedPointerT~	31	325
RuntimeControl~3	155	780	DisposalTestSu~	30	309
QueueTestSuite	63	650	TimeStampTestS~	34	306
ControlFlowTes~	138	643	ConditionTestS~	39	302
ConferenceClie~	124	607	ClockTestSuite	27	297
TCPTestSuite	55	465	NetstringsProt~	35	290
ConferenceFact~	74	447	RunnerTestSuit~	27	290
DMCPConnection~	76	421	FalseSerializa~	37	288
ConnectionTest~	62	417	ContainerTestS~	36	287
DMCPDiscoverer~	60	411	ServiceTestSui~	40	286
DataStoreTestS~	61	401	SerializationT~	32	279
AbstractCIDMod~	58	365	StringProtocol~	33	275
UDPTestSuite	43	346	SharedMemoryTe~	25	273
CommandLinePar~	34	343	MutexTestSuite	28	259
KeyValueConfig~	35	332	TreeNodeTestSu~	25	250

- \* Most modules have virtual calls and VTables
- \* The attack surface is large enough for real world attacks.



# Towards Building Secure Cyber Physical Systems by Construction

- \* Program hardening to protect against exploits
- \* Security as a service for managing security life cycle
  - Device onboarding/pairing
  - Device authentication/access control
  - Device removal/transition
  - \* Secure software update
  - \* Secure key management



# Access Control on IoT Devices is Important

- \* Sensitive information on them
- \* Control other connected IoT devices







Wearable

Healthcare Monitor

Smart lock

36



# **Current Solutions**

- \* Password
  - Limited applicability: Some IoT devices do not have touchscreen or keyboard
  - \* Tedious: Need to type in password every time
  - \* Insecure: Users select weak passwords/reuse passwords
- \* Biometrics
  - \* Unreliable, e.g., fingerprint on smartphones might require multiple trials.
  - \* Insecure: Vulnerable to forgery attacks



37

# **Emerging Scenarios in IoT**

- \* A user carries a IoT device which has already authenticated the user's identity.
  - \* Vouching device
- \* Authentication on another IoT device
  - \* Authenticating device
- \* Examples
  - \* Vouching device=wearable, authenticating device=smartphone
  - \* Vouching device=smartphone, authenticating device=smart lock



# **Key Observation**

Vouching device=wearable

Authenticating device=smartphone



Close when the legitimate user uses the smartphone



🛏 Large d 🛏



Far away when an attacker tries to access the smartphone



# **Proximity-based Authentication**

- Access is granted if and only if the distance between the authenticating device and the vouching device is no larger than an authentication threshold τ.
- Distance estimation techniques using radio signals such as Bluetooth, WiFi, and GPS have large errors on commodity devices
- \* Our goal: secure, reliable, passive, and efficient proximity-based authentication using acoustic signals



40

# PIANO

\* The two devices are already paired via a secure channel (e.g., Bluetooth)



# **Acoustic Signal Based Distance Estimation**



**Authenticating Device** 

**Vouching Device** 

$$\mathbf{d} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot c \cdot \left( \left( t_{\text{VOLUPLATIONS OF RESILIENT}} \left( t_{AV} - t_{AA} \right) \right) \right)$$

# Results

FNRs in different environments and with different authentication thresholds

	$0.5\mathrm{m}$	1.0m	1.5m	2.0m
Office	5.6%	2.8%	1.9%	1.4%
Home	9.5%	4.8%	3.2%	2.4%
Street	12.6%	6.3%	4.2%	3.1%
Restaurant	8.5%	4.2%	2.8%	2.1%
Multiple users	7.9%	4.0%	2.6%	2.0%

FPRs in different environments and with different authentication thresholds

	$0.5\mathrm{m}$	1.0m	$1.5\mathrm{m}$	2.0m
Office	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%
Home	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%
Street	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%
Restaurant	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.4%
Multiple users	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%

## \* Efficiency

- \* 3s per authentication
- \* 100 times of authentication consumes 0.6% of smartphone battery



# Malware enters new landscape as more parts of the world get connected

- \* Legacy, traditional vulnerabilities & attacks in new landscape
- \* New classes of vulnerabilities & attacks on new platform
- \* New threat models with new technology



# Towards Building Cyber Physical Systems Secure by Construction



## Reacting to Attacks Racing with Attacks Eradicating Attacks



# Towards Building Secure Cyber Physical Systems by Construction

- \* Program hardening to protect against exploits
- \* Security as a service for managing security life cycle
  - Device onboarding/pairing
  - Device authentication/access control
  - Device removal/transition
  - \* Secure software update
  - \* Secure key management

