An End-to-End Quality of Time (QoT) Stack for Linux



Award # CNS-1329755 (UCLA), CNS-1329644 (CMU), CNS-1329644 (UCSD), and CNS-1329650 (UCSB) Type: Frontier; Start Date: June 2014





Co-Authors: Justin Pearson, Joao Hespanha and Masashi Wakaiki (UCSB), Fatima Muhammad, Paul Martin and Mani Srivastava (UCLA), Adwait Dongare and Anthony Rowe (CMU)

Motivation



- Linux exposes time to applications in a very limited way
- No sense of uncertainty in current time estimate
- Synchronization independent of application demand
- Synchronization is not adpative or resource-aware
- What makes up Quality of Time (QoT)
 - \circ **Knowing** time The current time is **x** with uncertainty σ
 - **Keeping** time Wake me up after **x**, but no later than $\mathbf{x} \pm \sigma$
 - **Sharing** time Declare a group of networked applications to share time
 - **Controlling** time Adapt a local sense of time to balance resources
 - Switching between oscillators to drive local time representation
 - Election of master time source for synchronization
 - Rate at which synchronization is carried out with master
- Goal: An adaptive, end-to-end Linux stack for enabling QoT



- Applications **bind** to **timelines** with a desired **accuracy** and **resolution**
- The system performs synchronization to achieve application demands
- Programmers use C++ interface to bind to timelines and query time

int64_t accuracy = 1e3; // worst-case phase offset (ns) from timeline int64_t resolution = 1e6; // minimum acceptable tick resolution (ns) qot::Timeline timeline("my_test_timeline", accuracy, resolution); timeline.SetName("my_application_name"); // name of this application

int64_t tval = timeline.GetTime(); // current time (ns)
int64_t terr = timeline.GetError(); // error in the time estimate
timeline.WaitUntil(tval + 1e9); // blocking wait until 1s from tval



• Also supports interaction with other cyber-physical systems

bool timeline.GenerateInterrupt(
 "timer_name", // name of the timer pin
 true, // true: enable, false: disable
 timeline.GetTime() + 1e9, // time of first rising edge
 1e9, // PWM duty cycle high time (ns)
 2e9, // PWM duty cycle low time (ns)
 10 // number of PWM cycles - 0:infinite, 1+:finite
);

```
void callback(const std::string &tid, int64_t t) {
   std::cout << "Timer " << tid << " irq at " << t;
}
timeline.SetCaptureCallBack(callback);</pre>
```

Interface with devices, such as sensors and radios

The Quality of Time (QoT) Stack for Linux

- Interface the application programmer interface is a library that a third-party programmer links against in order to develop a time-aware application.
- Module two kernel modules: one manages POSIX clocks that represent timelines, and the other provides hardwaredependent hooks to a timing architecture.
- Service a data distribution service (DDS) driven daemon observes and shares timelines, and performs resource-aware synchronization to meet requirements.



Example: Distributed Inverted Pendulum

Current Research Directions

- Typical controllers assume sensing and actuation to occur simultaneously
 Problem: network-distributed sensing/control cannot stabilize pendulum
- Improved synchronization algorithms negotiation of master and slaves, based on application demands, the network topology, and resources available.
 Improved oscillation options development of boards to discipline a crystal oscillator directly (Utah) and provide a fast start-up, low-power clock source (UCLA).
 Improved radio technologies developing PTP compliant Linux network drivers for an ultra-wideband radio, in order to enable wireless synchronization.
 Quadrotor experimental platform (pictured right) a UWB system for indoor quadrotor stabilization is currently undergoing testing at UCLA.



• Approach: leverage the QoT stack to design a delay-tolerant controller



• **Result**: we show that a QoT-aware controller stabilizes the pendulum

Code is available online: <u>https://bitbucket.org/rose-line</u>











