# **Developing Technique for Anomaly Detection in Software Behaviors**

Yutaro Kashiwa, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Japan

## 1. Project Challenge

Internal developers are no longer able to be trusted

### SOPHOS NEWS

## Linux team in public bust-up over fake "patches" to introduce bugs

Embarrassed overreaction or righteous indignation? An academic research group has provoked the Linux crew to ban their whole university!

### Patches with defects passed Linux's quality assurance process known for its strictness

### Why these defects cannot be detected?



Software becomes large-scale and complex but time and human-resources for testing are limited

## Tests verify only the inputs and outputs



Easy for humans to make tests

- Does not verify which lines of source code were exercised
  - →Likely to miss defects if source code is not well-covered by test suites

It is challenging to create test-suites covering all possible inputs and states because of limited time and complex societies

## 2. Intellectual Merit

GOAL: Find anomalies without human-created tests

#### Modify Old Source code New Source code Dev. Automated Testing **Dynamic Analysis** Shops Transportatio Release if no anomalies Quantify E.g., A class is modified & Predict That's a suspicious Old Trace logs ew Trace logs Un-modifie behavior lass Notify Ŧ æ ELSE Changed Anomali trace loos Manage

## Monitors behaviors before and after changes

Exploit trace logs generated during test exercises with dynamic analysis tools

## 3. Broader Impact

### Quality Assurance as a Service (QAaaS)

The proposed approach does not require knowing the specifications of products  $\rightarrow$ Enables the **outsourcing of quality assurance** 

rance Cloud Service

Dramatically reduce software development effort

## **Realtime Anomaly Detection in Software Behavior**

Developing light-weight dynamic software analysis tools enable the monitoring of running software



Software Testing

Embedded Software (Self-Driving) (Fin-Tech)

Detect software anomalies before physical anomalies happen



Previous studies assume that larger changes are more likely to induce bugs but in reality...



# Behavior metrics improves up to 45% of the precision to predict defects in changes

Accepted in the 31st IEEE Intl. Conf. on Software Analysis, Evolution and Reengineering (SANER'24) [CORE A] Title: "TraceJIT: Evaluating the Impact of Behavioral Code Change on Just-In-Time Defect Prediction"

## 5. Future Goals

## This research plan tackles three challenges



