Judges' 2020 Engineering Notebook Score Sheet Purpose: To document the process used to design, build, and test the robot. (30 pts.)

	Research Paper (4 pts.)			Possible	Points					
Correlat	Points n's state or re	Awarded								
Correlation between the game and how the science/technology is being used at a company/industry/research lab in the team's state or region 7-10 In-depth discussion of how this year's game theme relates to industry in this region.										
3-6 General discussion of how this year's game theme relates to industry in this region.										
1-2 General discussion of this year's game theme, but no ties to the region 0 No discussion of game theme.										
Comments:										
Any rela										
4-5	elated information of the game theme such as history, famous inventor(s), major milestones, etc. In-depth discussion of history and people related to this year's game theme.									
2-3	General discussion of history and people related to this year's game theme.									
1	ion of related	d info								
Comme	ents:			5						
Analysis of the game theme/problem & related technology's impact on the human experience, our needs, adaptations, and progress with solutions.										
4-5										
2-3	Some discussion about the effects of the theme/problem/technology on human experiences, needs, adaptations or progress.									
1	Mentioned the effects to human experience, needs, adaptation or progress. 0 No mention of history/effects to humanity.									
Comme	ents:			5						
Creativit	y in linking the game to appropriately related science content									
7-10										
3-6										
1-2	Mentioned other science/technology.	0	No discussion of other sc	ience/techno	logy.					
Comme	10									
Proper f	ormal writing - Proper use of grammar & composition throughout ; source cit	ations u	sed to gather information; \	vithin 2-5 pag	e limit					
7-10 Very few grammar mistakes/misspellings, included citations, 2-5 pages.										
3-6										
1-2 Many grammar mistakes/misspellings, no citations, or not within 2-5 pages. 0 Incomprehensible or missing.										
Сотте	10									
	Design Process (17 pt	:s.)								
Impleme	ntation of the Engineering Design Process (Evidence that the engineering des	sign pro	cess was effectively used )							
21-25	Process is explicitly identified; steps are obvious and explanation is thorough.									
16-20										
11-15	Process is not identified; there is some discussion of a design process.	6-10	Discussion of process is r	ninimal.						
1-5	You can tell there was a design process of some sort.	0	No discernible design pro	ocess.						
Сотте	25									
Brainsto	rming Approaches - How well organized and productive was the brainstorming	approad	h? How well was it docum	nented?						
21-25										
16-20										
11-15	Approach is not identified, there is some discussion of brainstorming.	6-10	Discussion of approach is	s minimal.						
1-5	You can tell there were ideas generated.	0	No discernible brainstorming.							
Comme	25									
Analytical evaluation of design alternatives - Use of analytical and mathematical skills in deciding upon and implementing design alternatives										
21-25 Evaluation is explicitly identified, analytic/mathematical approach is obvious, alternative designs are explained.										
16-20										
11-15	Evaluation is not identifiable, alternative designs are identified.	6-10	-	of alternative designs is minimal.						
1-5	You can tell there were alternatives.     0     No discernible evaluation.									
Comme				25						

## Judges' 2020 Engineering Notebook Score Sheet

Offensive and defensive evaluation - Analysis of gaming strategies and design elements used to achieve team goals										
21-25	Evaluation of offensive/defensive strategies is explicitly identified goals of this team are clearly identified discussion shows a deep									
16-20	Evaluation is identified, goals are discernible, discussion shows a knowledge of the game.									
11-15	Evaluation is not identified, there is some notion of goals and game knowledge.									
6-10	Discussion of goals and game knowledge are minimal.									
1-5	-5 There is some slight mention of strategy. 0 No discernible evaluation.									
Comme	25									
	re Development Process (Evidence that a software development process was effectively used)									
21-25	Process is explicitly identified; steps are obvious and explanation is thorough. Design methods utilized are identified and explained.									
16-20	Process is identified; steps are discernible and there is some explanation. Some design methods are discussed.									
11-15	There is some discussion of a development process or design methods.     6-10     Process is mentioned but minimal detail.									
1-5     You can tell there were some software design methods used.     0     No discernible software development process.										
Сотте					25					
Safety - Evidence that safety training occurred and safe practices were followed to prevent students' misuse of tools and other devices/equipment that may result in personal injury or damage to property										
17-20										
13-16	6 Clear evidence of safety training, some evidence procedures were verified.									
9-12	Some evidence of safety training, some procedures were verified.	5-8	Some evidence safety training, no verifying procedure							
1-4	Safety was mentioned; unsafe methods might be visible in photos.	0	No mentio	on of safety.						
Comme					20					
Support Documentation - Team organization, meeting minutes, CAD/other drawings, photos, test results, etc. that support the main document (max 20 double -sided pages)										
21-25	Items from ALL categories mentioned are included. 6-10 Includes items from at least 2 categories; not identified.									
16-20	Identifies items from the list but missing 1 or 2 categories.	1-5	Includes items from at least 1 categories mentioned.							
11-15										
Comme		Ū	ito iteriis		25					
		eteness	of Note	hook (9 nts )	25					
Overall Quality and completeness of Notebook (9 pts.) Organization and appearance - Table of contents, summary, page numbers, discussion of evaluation points, linkage to appendices										
Organiz	Well organized, looks good, includes Table of Contents, summary, pug									
22-30	score sheet), linkage to appendices.	iniary, page i	iumbers, u		113 (e.g. 11en	is on the				
13-21	Reasonably organized, looks good, missing one or two items	s from list ab	ove							
4-12	Poorly organized, no attention to looks, missing multiple ite			-3 Disorganized, missi	ng most iter	ns.				
	Somments:									
					30					
Adherence to specifications Cover sheet and/or title page that identifies the school team name, teacher contact information, and team number 1" margins, Business font no smaller than 12 pt., double-spaced (single spaced ok in tables and outlines); per Hub option, Softcopy Format = PDF or Hardcopy in Standard Binder, 35 one-sided page max for main section, 20 double-sided page max for appendices										
22-30	Adheres to the specifications listed above.									
13-21	Violates one of the specifications listed above.									
4-12	Violates two or three of the specifications listed above.	0-3	Violates fo	our or more specifications						
Comme	30									
Quality of	of content - Well written, clear photo labels, lack of extraneous mate	rial such as co	ommunity or	promotional efforts, spirit deve	elopment, tear	n building				
22-30	Everything is well written/clear/logical, everything is clearly	labeled, no e	excess mat	erial.						
13-21	You can follow everything with some effort, one or two thin	-								
4-12 Hard to understand in places, labels missing in places, unnecessary material. 0-3 Difficult to follow, unnecessary material.										
Comments:				30						
SCORE CALCULATION and Additional Comments: Total				300						
			÷10	÷10						
Final Score				30 max						
Judge name/number (print):										

Team Number: \_\_\_\_\_\_ School: \_\_\_\_\_