Learning with Safety Constraints: Sample Complexity of Safe Reinforcement Learning

Dileep Kalathil Assistant Professor, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas

Reinforcement Learning and Safety

- Reinforcement Learning (RL) addresses the problem of learning to control unknown systems by explicitly considering their inherent dynamical structure
- Standard RL algorithms typically focus only on maximizing a single objective in terms of the value function
- Control policy for any real-world systems should maintain some necessary safety criteria to avoid undesirable outcomes such as avoid collisions, avoid falling down, avoid blackouts



How do we learn RL algorithms that maximize the objective while satisfying the safety requirements?

Constrained Reinforcement Learning (CRL)

Constrained Markov Decision Process (CMDP) $M = (S, A, P, r, c, \overline{C}, H)$, where, S: state space, A: action space, P: transition kernel, r: reward c: cost, C: constraint bound, H: horizon length

Objective and Constraint Value functions of a policy π $V_{\pi} = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{h=1}^{n} r(s_h, a_h)], \ a_h \sim \pi(s_h), \quad C_{\pi} = \mathbb{E}[\sum_{h=1}^{n} c(s_h, a_h)], \ a_h \sim \pi(s_h)$

- Model *P* is unknown
- **CRL Problem**

$$\max_{\pi} V_{\pi}, \text{ such that } C_{\pi} \leq \bar{C}$$

- How do we learn the optimal constrained policy, which is the solution of the CRL problem?
- How do we characterize the performance of the learned policy? How do we characterize the learning efficiency?

2021 NSF Cyber-Physical Systems Principal Investigators' Meeting June 2-4, 2021

F			

Generative Model-Based CRL

- Generative model is a sampling device that gives the next state sample given the current state and action as the input
- Generative model can be used to estimate the underlying transition kernel P, and this model estimate can be used to solve the CMDP problem
- Estimating P: get n_o next state samples from each stateaction pair (s,a). Get the maximum likelihood estimate \widehat{P}
- The CMDP problem may not be feasible for \widehat{P}

Online Model-Based CRL

- RL algorithms often have to collect data in an online way generating sequential trajectories
- Exploration vs exploitation trade-off is a fundamental pr in any online learning algorithm
 - Exploration: gather data to learn P Ο
 - Exploitation: select actions that maximize the objec Ο without violating the constraints
- Objective: Learn a safe policy with minimum number of samples, with provable guarantees on performance

Theorem 2 (Sample complexity of Online Me Under Online Model-Based CRL algorithm, with ap $(\bar{C}+\epsilon) \geq 1-\delta$, for all but at most $\tilde{O}(\frac{|S|^2|A|H^2}{\epsilon^2})$

Boarder Impact

- Outreach lecture at the Texas A&M University Physics Engineering Festival on the topic of "A Path to Artificia Intelligence Through Reinforcement Learning"
- Mentoring undergraduate students through Louis Stok Alliance For Minority Participation (LSAMP), TAMU

Award ID#:1850206: CRII: CPS: To **Operation of Distributed Energy S** PI: Dileep Kalathil (Texas A&M Un



Solution: solve an optimistic CMDP problem with \hat{P} . This can be achieved by an extended linear programming approach [HasanzadeZonuzy et al, 2020a]

Theorem 1 (Sample complexity of Generative Model-Based CRL). [HasanzadeZonuzy et al, 2020a] Let π_{safe} be the policy obtained from the Generative Model-Based CRL Algorithm with $n_o \ge \frac{256}{\epsilon^2} |S| H^3 \log \frac{24|S||A|H}{\delta}$

Then, $\mathbb{P}(V_{\pi_{safe}} \geq V_{\pi^*} - \epsilon \text{ and } C_{\pi_{safe}} \leq \overline{C} + \epsilon) \geq 1 - \delta.$

	Algorithm Online Model-Based CRL
•	1: Input: problem paramaters (ϵ, δ) . Initial policy π_1
ay by	2: Set $n(s, a) = n(s', s, a) = 0 \forall s, s' \in S, a \in A.$
	3: Fix algorithm parameter $m(\epsilon, \delta)$
	4: while there is (s, a) with $n(s, a) < S Hm(\epsilon, \delta)$ do
roblem	5: for episode $k = 1, 2,$ do
	6: for $t = 1,, H$ do
	7: Collect samples using the exploration policy π_k :
	$a_t \sim \pi_k(s_t), s_{t+1} \sim P(\cdot s_t, a_t)$
ctive	8: Update the counts: $n(s_t, a_t) + +, n(s_{t+1}, s_t, a_t)$
	9: Estimate \widehat{P} : $\widehat{P}(s' s,a) = \frac{n(s',s,a)}{n(s,a)\wedge 1}, \forall (s,a)$
	10: Solve the optimistic CMDP problem using \widehat{P}
f online	and confidence estimate to get π_{k+1}
	11: Output $\pi_{\text{safe}} = \pi_k$

odel-Based CRL).	[HasanzadeZonuzy	et al, 2020a]
ppropriate $m(\epsilon, \delta)$,	we get $\mathbb{P}(V_{\pi_k} \geq V_{\pi^*} -$	$-\epsilon$ and $C_{\pi_k} \leq$
og $\frac{ S A }{\delta}$) episodes.		

	Publications
and al	1. A. HasanzadeZonuzy, A., Bura, D. Kalathil, S. Shakkottai, ``Learning safety constraints: Sample complexity of reinforcement learning for MDPS'', AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, February, 2021
kes	2. A. HasanzadeZonuzy, A., Bura, D. Kalathil, S. Shakkottai, ``Mode Reinforcement Learning for Infinite-Horizon Discounted Constraine Decision Processes'', International Joint Conference on Artificial Int (IJCAI), August, 2021
owards Systems	a Model-Based Reinforcement Learning Approach for S
iversity	

