EAGER: Reconciling Model Discrepancies in Human-Robot Teams





- 1. Motivation
- Teammates have many conscious and subconscious expectations of each other
- The expected (EM) and actual models (DM) may differ, leading to unmatched expectations, lost situation awareness and trust

A tragedy resulted as model differences:







 $M_R(DM)$

2. Research Thrusts and Intellectual Merit

Two methods are proposed as **model reconciliation** planning (MRP) and explanation generation (MRE)

- **MRP**: biasing the robot's behavior to *implicitly* accommodate model differences – trade off between plan cost and explicability
- MRE: communicating to *explicitly* reduce model differences – search through the model space to find updates to EM that allow the expectation to be met

3. Model Reconciliation Planning (MRP)

4. Explanation Generation (MRE)

Multi-model Explanation [3]: searching for explanations that satisfy the following properties: completeness, conciseness, and monotonicity

E.g., $\mathcal{E}^{MCE} = \arg \min_{\mathcal{E}} |\Gamma(\widehat{\mathcal{M}}) \Delta \Gamma(\mathcal{M}^H)|$ minimally complete

Progressive Explanation [4]:

Considering not only the correctness of explanations for the explainee but also the *cognition effort* incurred for interpreting the explanation

Amy: Let's go to the outlet today. Monica: My car is ready. Amy: Great! Monica: The rain will stop soon. Amy: Wonderful! Monica: By the way, today is a holiday (shops closed). Amy: You are telling me now! Monica: Let us go to the central park! Amy: ...

 $\Pi_{\mathcal{M}}$

E.g., $\operatorname{arg\,min}_{\langle \Delta(\widehat{M^H}, M^H) \rangle} \sum_{f_i \in \langle \Delta(\widehat{M^H}, M^H) \rangle} \rho_i$

• Plan Explicability [1]: *learning* the model of expectation (EM) from human labels; *optimizing* in terms of both plan cost and explicability (computed based on the learned model)

 $\operatorname{argmin} cost(\pi_{M_R}) + \alpha \cdot dist(\pi_{M_R}, \pi_{\mathcal{M}_R})$ π_{M_R}

• Interactive Plan Explicability [2]: extending the model of expectation (EM) to an interactive setting; plan explicability is influenced by both human and robot actions in the context of a joint plan

5. Summary of Current Progresses with References

- Y. Zhang and M. Zakershahrak, *Progressive Explanation Generation for* Human-robot Teaming, under review
- M. Zakershahrak, A. Sonawane, Z. Gong and Y. Zhang, *Interactive Plan* 2) Explicability in Human-Robot Teaming, ROMAN, 2018
- T. Chakraborti, S. Sreedharan, Y. Zhang, S. Kambhampati, *Plan* 3) Explanations as Model Reconciliation: Moving Beyond Explanation as Soliloquy, IJCAI, 2017
- Y. Zhang, S. Sreedharan, A. Kulkarni, T. Chakraborti, H. Zhuo, S. 4) Kambhampati, Plan Explicability and Predictability for Robot Task Planning, ICRA, 2017



