Real-time Semantic Computer Vision for Co-robotics Towards Realistic Predictors

Pei Wang, Nuno Vasconcelos

Statistical Visual Computing Lab, UC San Diego









- computer vision algorithms are optimistic
- they attempt to recognize/ detect/segment/ all instances
- without regard to how hard the task is
- this is not what humans do!





cristiano ronaldo





Humans

 analyze the difficulty of each task



Humans

- analyze the difficulty of each task
- accept tasks that are doable
 - such as classifying popular dog breeds



Dalmatian



Golden retriever



Humans

- analyze the difficulty of each task
- accept tasks that are doable
 - such as classifying popular dog breeds
- but refuse tasks that are too hard
 - such as classifying exotic dog breeds
- they just say "sorry, can't do it"



Dalmatian







Tibetan Mastiff



Peruvian Inca Orchid



- we refer to this as realism
- this trait is critical for many applications,
 - circumventing risk in autonomous driving

<image/>



- we refer to this as realism
- this trait is critical for many applications,
 - circumventing risk in autonomous driving
 - tumor detection and classification



- we refer to this as realism
- this trait is critical for many applications,
 - circumventing risk in autonomous driving
 - tumor detection and classification
- vision system should either
 - reject to perform the hard task
 - request additional information from other sensors





Realistic classifier

- standard classifier
 - classifies all examples
- realistic classifier is defined as a classifier
 - that rejects examples deemed too hard
 - to guarantee a target performance on the accepted examples



Realistic classifier

- implemented as a sequence of
 - hardness predictor: assigns hardness score to each example
 - score thresholded to reject hard examples
 - classifier only applied to examples that can be "realistically" classified



Learning Hardness Predictor

- adversarial learning procedure
 - classifier and hardness predictor learned alternately
 - classifier learned with variant of cross entropy loss L_m
 - hardness predictor learned with a loss that encourages large hardness scores for misclassified examples

SVCL 🗢 UCSD



Experiments

- Benefits of joint optimization:
 - accuracy and hardness score distribution evolution on MNIST



- as the classifier improves the distribution shifts to the left
- fewer hard examples, consistent with better classifier

Experiments

Removed sample number vs target performance



• In order to guarantee a target performance, realistic predictors can accept and classify more examples than non-realistic ones.

Conclusions

- computer vision systems try to process all instances
- this optimistic attitude can lead to critical failures in some applications
- realistic classifiers reject some examples to guarantee a target performance on the ones they process
- proposed an adversarial architecture for realistic prediction, based on joint learning of hardness predictor and classifier
- this was shown to
 - improve classification when hard examples are rejected
 - superior to thresholding of confidence scores for example rejection



Thank You!

Welcome to our poster for more details

