Selective Listening: Control for Connected Autonomous Vehicles in Data-Rich Environments CPS #1646367

Raghvendra V. Cowlagi

Alexander M. Wyglinski

Associate Professor Aerospace Engineering Program Professor Dept. Electrical & Computer Engineering

Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA, USA. rvcowlagi, alexw@wpi.edu



2019 NSF CPS Program PI Meeting, Arlington, VA November 21-22, 2019.

Fair Use Disclaimer: This document may contain copyrighted material, such as photographs and diagrams, the use of which may not always have been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. The use of copyrighted material in this document is in accordance with the "fair use doctrine" as incorporated in Title 17 USC §107 of the United States Copyright Act of 1976.

Background & Motivation

- $\gg 10$ miles driven autonomously¹
 - ${\sim}1B$ miles with Tesla Autopilot²
- "Corner cases" remain an issue
- V2X comms. are non-line-of-sight information sources
 - 300m range
 - NHTSA estimate: V2V comms. could prevent >600K crashes every year

Peder Hulthin, a Tesla Model S 70D owner from Norway, told Electrek (after first sharing the story on the Teslanytt facebook group) that he was driving his electric car on Autopilot on the highway between Oals and Drammen when <u>ace swetved in andirator of his level</u>.

As the vehicle exited his lane, there was red van stock in the middle of the highway and neither Hulthin or Autopilot could react in time to avoid the crash

The Model S hit the vehicle head-on at about 85 to 90 km/h, according to the driver.

Hulthin shared pictures of the aftermath with *Electrek* — showing just how strong of an impact the Model S had to absorb:



²Tesla Vehicle Safety Report. https://www.tesla.com/VehicleSafetyReport

¹Waymo Safety Report 2019: On the Road to Fully Self Driving. https://waymo.com/safety/

Research Questions



We study bidirectional interactions between V2V comms. and autonomy and control.

- How to assimilate data from onboard sensors and V2V comms.?
- In congested traffic, which V2V links are the most important?
- Can control/estimation techniques improve V2V link quality?



Threat Field Model

Map indicating possibility of colliding with other vehiclesE.g.,

$$c(x,y,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_1 e^{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \delta V_n(t))\delta r_n(t)x}}{(1 + e^{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \delta V_n(t))\delta r_n(t)x})^2} \frac{1}{\lambda_4 \delta x_n(t)\delta y_n(t)} e^{\frac{1}{2}(\delta x_n^2(t) + \delta y_n^2(t))}$$

Highlighted terms depend on relative position and velocity of $n^{\rm th}$ nearby vehicle



Path- or Trajectory Planning

- Threat field to be estimated from onboard sensors and V2V comms.
- Onboard sensors emulated by ray-tracing

Coupled Planning and Sensing

- Obtain candidate optimal path from current knowledge of field.
- Identify bases relevant to the candidate path:
 - path coverage, xcorr of path/environment, uncertain regions,...
- Determine a subdomain of interest, and place sensors.
- Repeat until path cost variance is sufficiently reduced.



Iteration Example





StopCondition

- Terminate when Path Cost Variance, Var[Ĵ(𝑥^{*}_ℓ)], below tolerance ε₁(𝑥^{*}_ℓ).
- $\varepsilon_1(\mathbf{v}_\ell^*) := \lambda_1^2 \sum_{i=0}^P \Phi^T(\mathsf{x}_{v_i}) P_{\mathrm{grid}} \Phi(\mathsf{x}_{v_i}),$ where $P_{\mathrm{grid}} := (H_{\mathrm{grid}}^T R^{-1} H_{\mathrm{grid}})^{-1}.$

Ongoing Work

- Apply coupled sensing and planning technique to identify "most informative" sensor locations
- V2V comms. as "sensors" of threat field
- Finite parametrization and evolution model for threat field
- Head-to-head short-term trajectory planning performance comparison





Onboard sensors + V2V comms.

Beamforming for V2V Communications

- A dual beamforming architecture for V2V networks where one vehicle acts as the transmitter whose location is assumed to be precise and the other vehicle acts as the receiver.
- An analysis of the positioning errors due to GPS and overhead channel updates on the system performance of a V2V network employing dual beamforming.





V2V Architecture Model



Block diagram of simulation environment through AWGN using BPSK.

Positioning Error Models for Beamforming V2V

• GPS horizontal position measurement error standard deviation³:

$$E_{n-e,\mathrm{rms}} = \mathrm{HDOP} \cdot \mathrm{UERE}_{\mathrm{rms}} = (1.3)(5.1) = 6.6m,$$

where HDOP is the horizontal dilution of precision, UERE is user-equivalent range error



³P. Misra & P. Enge. Global Positioning System. Ganga-Jamuna Press, Lincoln MA, 2012.

Beamforming Implementation

• For isotropic sources, the array factor AF is given by the expression:

$$AF(\theta,\gamma) = \frac{1}{L} \left(\frac{\sin(\frac{L}{2}\chi)}{\sin(\frac{1}{2}\chi)} \right)$$
(1)

• The received power is calculated as:

$$\Gamma_r(d) = \Gamma_t dBm + 10 \log_{10}(g_t) + 10 \log_{10}(g_r) + 20 \log_{10}(\lambda) - 20 \log_{10}(4\pi d) - 10 \log_{10}(L).$$
(2)

• The path loss is predicted using Friis Free Space Propagation Model for this paper.

Angular Displacement



respectively.

Changing SNR



SNR for the varied number of antenna array elements and the vehicle's distance; d=100,200,300,...,1000 in meters and L=2,4,6,8.

Changing BER



BER for the varied number of antenna array elements and the vehicle's distance; d=100, 200, 300, ..., 1000 and L=2, 4, 6, 8.

Receiver Location Error



Using Vehicle State Estimation to Mitigate BER Degradation



Summary



- Threat field model for onboard sensor data and V2V comms. data fusion; finite parametric representation for scalability
- Coupled sensing and planning to identify most informative V2V links
- Beamforming performance analysis under localization errors and interference (ongoing work)

rvcowlagi@wpi.edu

alexw@wpi.edu